



QUALITY ASSURANCE BODY

perspective

The quality assurance body perspective asks you to examine your practice from the point of view of accrediting bodies. It includes underlying principles, policies and processes in relation to the vision.

The quality assurance body perspective offers reflective prompts from the point of view of accrediting bodies, where you examine your practice and provision through an external lens. They are designed to be considered contextually within a tertiary organisation.



DESIGNING: The planning, design and preparation of eLearning and assessment materials for delivery to a set of learners. It considers collaborative design, teaching, learner skills, needs and support.

eLearning guidelines

- QD 1: Has the organisation appointed leadership of the developments of quality eLearning?
- QD 2: Has the organisation collaboratively developed a vision for eLearning that is aligned with its overall vision for teaching and learning?
- QD 3: Do stakeholders such as employers, learners, teaching staff, library and other support staff have opportunities to offer suggestions on the eLearning course developments?
- QD 4: Is there consideration for and a clear link between graduate capabilities and content knowledge in the design of eLearning courses?
- QD 5: Is there evidence that pedagogy leads the design, development and operations of eLearning and eTeaching?
- QD 6: Are eLearning tools aligned with deliberate pedagogical choices, and is this articulated and documented?
- QD 7: Is there a clear engagement with the eLearning guidelines (eLg) to support quality practices?
- QD 8: Are organisation-wide policies and processes in place to ensure sustainable, quality interactions with learners?
- QD 9: Does the learning design support digital information literacy development?
- QD 10: Are there policies and processes in place to ensure proactive support for eLearners?
- QD 11: Has stakeholder input been considered in the development of quality policies, processes and outcomes for eLearning?

IMPLEMENTING: To support the effective delivery of eTeaching and eLearning. It considers teaching, collaboration, professional development and technical aspects.

eLearning guidelines

- QI 1: Are there established policies, processes (Quality Management Systems [QMS]) and plans supporting the eLearning strategy across the organisation?
- QI 2: Is information about eLearning freely shared across organisational departments, support networks and staff?
- QI 3: Is eLearning widely supported throughout the organisation?
- QI 4: Are there monitoring and evaluation methods in place to ensure eLearning has met its objectives and requirements?
- QI 5: Is there a professional development programme for all staff in the organisation which builds capacity for eLearning?
- QI 6: Does the organisation provide a reliable, secure and up-to-date information technology infrastructure that meets the requirements of eTeaching and eLearning?
- QI 7: Are there memoranda of understanding (MOU) or contracts in place with any partners in eLearning developments?

ENHANCING: The forward planning for ongoing improvement and sustainability of eLearning. It considers ongoing professional development and the gathering and use of evidence for continued improvement and effectiveness.

eLearning guidelines

- QE 1: Are there core principles and an overarching framework for eLearning initiatives?
- QE 2: Are there processes in place to evaluate eLearning during and after a course to identify its effectiveness?
- QE 3: Does quality improvement for eLearning focus on teaching, learning, learner experiences and outcomes?
- QE 4: Is there a formal process for measuring and improving quality of eTeaching and eLearning including multiple perspectives and internal and external benchmarking?
- QE 5: Are eLearning initiatives evaluated from multiple perspectives before, during and after implementation?
- QE 6: Is there evidence of review and quality improvement in eLearning over periods of time?
- QE 7: Are the learning technologies meeting learner, teaching and organisation needs?
- QE 8: Are there strategies employed to ensure the sustainability of innovation?
- QE 9: Is innovative eTeaching championed by the organisational leadership?
- QE 10: Do organisational structures facilitate innovative approaches?
- QE 11: Does the organisation measure the effectiveness of staff professional development for eLearning?
- QE 12: Does the organisation measure quality and quantity of use of the eTeaching and eLearning platform?

RESOURCES

- Agariya, A. K., & Singh, D. (2013). eLearning quality: scale development and validation in Indian context. *Knowledge Management & eLearning: An International Journal (KM&EL)*, 4(4), 500-517. Retrieved from <http://kmel-journal.org/ojs/index.php/online-publication/article/view/139/163>
- Bentley, Y., Selassie, H., & Shegunshi, A. (2012). Design and evaluation of student-focused eLearning. *Electronic Journal of E-Learning*, 10(1), 1-12. Retrieved from <http://www.ejel.org/issue/download.html?idIssue=20>
- Chen, M. (2009). An evaluation of the ELNP eLearning quality assurance program: Perspectives of gap analysis and innovation diffusion. *Educational Technology & Society*, 12(1), 18-33. Retrieved from http://ifets.info/journals/12_1/3.pdf
- Conole, G. (2016). MOOCs as disruptive technologies: Strategies for enhancing the learner experience and quality of MOOCs. RED – *Revista de Educacion a Distancia*, 50(2). Retrieved from <http://www.um.es/ead/red/50/conole.pdf>
- Deepwell, F. (2007). Embedding quality in eLearning implementation through evaluation. *Educational Technology & Society*, 10(2), 34-43. Retrieved from <http://kanjiteacher.googlecode.com/svn-history/r301/Non-Code/Papers/Learning/Alexander2007.pdf#page=39>
- Ferguson, R., Sharples, M., & Beale, R. (2015). MOOCs 2030: A future for massive open online learning. In: Bonk, C., Lee, M., Reeves, T. & Reynolds, T. eds. *MOOCs and Open Education around the World*. Abingdon: Routledge, pp 315 – 326. Retrieved from <http://oro.open.ac.uk/43541/>
- Grifoll, J., Huertas, E., Prades, A., Rodriguez, S., Rubin, Y., Mulder, F., & European Association for Quality Assurance in Higher Education. (2010). *Quality assurance of eLearning. ENQA workshop report 14*. Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED541661.pdf>
- Grifoll, J., Hopbach, A., Kekalainen, H., Lugano, N., Rozsnyai, C., Shopov, T., & European Association for Quality Assurance in Higher Education. (2012). *Quality procedures in the European higher education area and beyond - visions for the future: Third ENQA survey. ENQA Occasional Papers 18*. Brussels, Belgium: ENQA (European Association for Quality Assurance in Higher Education). Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED542067.pdf>
- Guiney, P. (2013). *Organisational approaches to eLearning in the tertiary sector: An annotated bibliography*. Tertiary education occasional paper. Wellington, New Zealand: Ministry of Education. Retrieved from <http://www.educationcounts.govt.nz/publications/ict/organisational-approaches-to-e-learning-in-the-tertiary-sector>
- Herron, R. I., Holsombach-Ebner, C., Shomate, A. K., & Szathmary, K., J. (2012). Large scale quality engineering in distance learning programs. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 16(5), 19-35. Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1000088.pdf>
- Idogho, P., & Eshiotse, S. (2012). Cross-border delivery in Nigeria and quality assurance issues. *Online Submission*. Retrieved from <http://cambridgedistanceeducation.org.uk/B3C69BFD-7AC9-4D9D-ADA2-B14CCB8D0D3A/FinalDownload/DownloadId-19DFAAB5DB4584558812BDAC7939ED76/B3C69BFD-7AC9-4D9D-ADA2-B14CCB8D0D3A/ciconference2011ou/authorsftol.pdf#page=66>
- Krause, J., Dias, L., & Schedler, C. (2015). *Competency-based education: A framework for measuring quality courses*. Retrieved from http://static.pseupdate.mior.ca.s3.amazonaws.com/media/links/Competency_Based_Education_A_Framework_for_Measuring_Quality_Courses.pdf
- Lowenthal, P., & Hodges, C. (2015). In search of quality: Using quality matters to analyze the quality of massive, open, online courses (MOOCs). *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 16(5). Retrieved from <http://www.irrodl.org/index.php/irrodl/article/view/2348>
- Magzan, M., & Aleksic-Maslac, K. (2011). Higher education for national development: quality assurance and fostering global competencies. *Online Submission*. Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED531603.pdf>
- McGahan, S., Jackson, C., & Premer, K. (2015). Online course quality assurance: Development of a quality checklist. *InSight: A Journal of Scholarly Teaching*, 10, 126 – 140. Retrieved from <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1074062>

- Ossiannilsson, E. E., & Landgren, L. L. (2012). Quality in eLearning - a conceptual framework based on experiences from three international benchmarking projects. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 28(1), 42-51. Retrieved from <http://e-studente.yolasite.com/resources/j.1365-2729.2011.00439.x.pdf>
- Ossiannilsson, E., Williams, K., Camilleri, A., & Brown, M. (2015). Quality models in online and open education around the globe: State of the art and recommendations. *International Council for Open and Distance Education (ICDE)*. Retrieved from <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED557055>
- The Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education. (2010). *Code of practice for the assurance of academic quality and standards in higher education: Collaborative provision and flexible and distributed learning (including e-learning) – Amplified version October 2010*. Gloucester, UK: Author.
- Usoro, A., & Abid, A. (2008). *Conceptualising quality eLearning in higher education, eLearning and digital media*, 5(1). Retrieved from http://www.worlds.co.uk/pdf/validate.asp?j=elea&vol=5&issue=1&year=2008&article=7_Usoro_ELEA_5_1_web
- Zhang, W., & Cheng, Y. L. (2012). Quality assurance in eLearning: PDPP evaluation model and its application. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 13(3), 66-82. Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1001012.pdf>
- Zuvic-Butorac, M., Nebic, Z., Nemcanin, D., Mikac, T., & Lucin, P. (2011). Establishing an institutional framework for an eLearning implementation - experiences from the University of Rijeka, Croatia. *Journal of Information Technology Education*, 10IIP. Retrieved from <http://jite.informingscience.org/documents/Vol10/JITEv10IIPp043-056Zuvic946.pdf>