



MANAGER *perspective*

The manager perspective asks you to consider the support for the process provided by those in management positions. It includes the policies and procedures which underpin eLearning provision.

The manager perspective offers reflective prompts focussed on the wide range of manager roles and the varied support for the process provided by those in management positions. They are designed to be considered contextually within a tertiary organisation.



DESIGNING: The planning, design and preparation of eLearning and assessment materials for delivery to a set of learners. It considers collaborative design, teaching, learner skills, needs and support.

eLearning guidelines

- MD 1: Are eLearning tools aligned with deliberate pedagogical choices, and is this articulated and documented?
- MD 2: Is there a process to select technologies to support the desired teaching and learning outcomes?
- MD 3: Does the scheduling and timetabling provide flexible eLearning that is responsive to learners?
- MD 4: Are there processes in place to identify learner needs and respond to them?
- MD 5: Is workload for staff considered when planning eLearning initiatives?
- MD 6: Is there a formal programme to support staff in their initial stages as online teachers?
- MD 7: Is time enabled for staff to establish and maintain appropriate eTeaching interactions?
- MD 8: Does the organisation have policies and agreements on ownership of intellectual property relating to eLearning and eTeaching?

IMPLEMENTING: To support the effective delivery of eTeaching and eLearning. It considers teaching, collaboration, professional development and technical aspects.

eLearning guidelines

- MI 1: Do the physical spaces and infrastructure effectively support eLearning?
- MI 2: Are there clear lines of responsibility for eLearning with respect to technical and pedagogical issues and challenges?
- MI 3: Are staff development programmes for eLearning developed in consultation with teaching staff, in order to identify and meet specific staff needs?
- MI 4: Are staff with eLearning expertise available to support and develop other staff?
- MI 5: Is teacher online engagement monitored?
- MI 6: Are there monitoring and evaluation methods in place to ensure eLearning is meeting its objectives and requirements?
- MI 7: Does the organisation have a staff and student policy and code of practice for computer use?
- MI 8: Is the eLearning reliable in operation across all online platforms and browsers?
- MI 9: Are standard technologies used as much as possible, with preference given to those that do not require the learner or teacher to install third party applications?
- MI 10: Are technical standards such as those that ensure systems interoperability used?
- MI 11: Are expected standards of service delivery for support of eLearning in place?

IMPLEMENTING continued

eLearning guidelines

MI 12: Is there a secure and ethical method of protecting, storing and backing up digital information?

MI 13: Are policies and procedures in place to maintain an effective eLearning delivery system?

ENHANCING: The forward planning for ongoing improvement and sustainability of eLearning. It considers ongoing professional development and the gathering and use of evidence for continued improvement and effectiveness.

eLearning guidelines

ME 1: Does the organisation review the support for learners in the eLearning environment and implement changes?

ME 2: Are there guidelines in place for the collection and analysis of learner data?

ME 3: Does the organisation share good eTeaching examples and teaching practice to enhance provision?

ME 4: Does the organisation encourage staff to make effective and innovative use of eLearning?

ME 5: Are staff recognised and championed for good eTeaching practice?

ME 6: Are staff encouraged to participate in professional networks and learning communities to review, develop and share effective eTeaching and eLearning practice?

ME 7: Are systems and processes in place for monitoring the quality and effectiveness of eLearning materials, including review and further development?

ME 8: Does the eLearning meet the expectations of the organisation, in respect to the quality of teaching and learning-support material?

RESOURCES

- Al-alak, B. A., & Alnawas, I. A. (2011). Measuring the acceptance and adoption of eLearning by academic staff. *Knowledge Management & E-Learning: An International Journal (KM&EL)*, 3(2), 201-221. Retrieved from <http://kmel-journal.org/ojs/index.php/online-publication/article/view/33/84>
- Barr, A., Neal, T., Moore, M., Delany, J., & Hunt, K. (2008). *Designing for professional development: how do we design effective professional development?* Wellington, New Zealand: Ministry of Education. Retrieved from <http://ako-aotearoa.ac.nz/community/recommended-resources-ako-aotearoa/resources/books/designing-professional-development>
- Backhouse, J. (2013). What makes lecturers in higher education use emerging technologies in their teaching? *Knowledge Management & E-Learning*, 5(3), 345–358. Retrieved from <http://www.kmel-journal.org/ojs/index.php/online-publication/article/view/246/193>
- Bath, D., & Bourke, J. (2011). The blending of blended learning: An experiential approach to academic staff development. In G. Williams, P. Statham, N. Brown, & B. Cleland (Eds.), *Changing demands, changing directions. Proceedings ascilite Hobart 2011* (pp.133-138). Hobart, Australia: University of Tasmania. Retrieved from <http://www.ascilite.org.au/conferences/hobart11/downloads/papers/Bath-concise.pdf>
- Brown, M., Keppell, M., Hughes, H., Hard, N., Shillington, S., & Smith, L. (2013). *In their own words: Learning from the experiences of first time distance students. Final Report 2012. dehub Report Series 2013*. Armidale NSW, Australia: University of New England, dehub. Retrieved from <http://dehub.edu.au/publications/reports/>
- Buzdar, M. A., Ali, A., & Tariq, R. (2016). Emotional intelligence as a determinant of readiness for online learning. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 17(1), 148 – 158. Retrieved from https://eric.ed.gov/?q=online+learning+student&pr=on&ft=on&ff1=dtSince_2014&id=EJ1090887
- Cheng, J., Huang, E., & Lin, C. (2012). An e-Book hub service based on a cloud platform. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 13(5), 39-55. Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1001721.pdf>
- Childs, M., Brown, M., Keppell, M., Nicholas, Z., Hunter, C., & Hard, N. (2013). Managing institutional change through distributive leadership approaches: *Engaging academics and teaching staff in blended and flexible learning. Final Report 2012. dehub Report Series 2013*. Armidale NSW, Australia: University of New England. Retrieved from <http://dehub.edu.au/publications/reports/>
- Doherty, I. (2010). Agile project management for elearning developments. *Journal of Distance Education*, 24(1), 91-106. Retrieved from <http://www.jofde.ca/index.php/jde/article/viewArticle/605/1028>
- Donohue, C., & Fox, S. (2012). Technology for teacher education and professional development: Lessons learned and innovative practices. *Exchange*, 74-82. Retrieved from http://bresciacittadelnoi.it/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/Lessons-learned-Innovative-practices-and-Emerging-trends_Chip-Donohue-and-Selena-Fox_Technology-for-teacher-education_March-April-2012.pdf
- Ertmer, P. A., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T. (2010). Teacher technology change: how knowledge, confidence, beliefs, and culture intersect. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 42(3), 255-284. Retrieved from <http://marianrosenberg.wiki.westga.edu/file/view/ErtmerPTeacherTechnology.pdf/348949052/ErtmerPTeacherTechnology.pdf>
- Fetters, M. L., & Duby, T. (2011). Faculty development: a stage model matched to blended learning maturation. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 15(1), 77-86. Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ918221.pdf>
- Garrison, D. R., & Akyol, Z. (2013). The community of inquiry theoretical framework. In G. M. Moore (Ed.), *Handbook of distance education* (pp. 104–120). New York: Routledge. Retrieved from https://books.google.co.nz/books?hl=en&lr=&id=Up_fAmUHaXsC&oi=fnd&pg=PA104&dq=The+community+of+inquiry+theoretical+framework&ots=7eEOUIA5FM&sig=PzLgdaN2zTy_CB5iJjXE1iWrBIU#v=onepage&q=The%20community%20of%20inquiry%20theoretical%20framework&f=false
- Georgina, D. A., & Hosford, C. C. (2009). Higher education faculty perceptions on technology integration and training. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 25(5), 690-696. Retrieved from <http://literacyachievementgap.pbworks.com/f/hghg.pdf>

- Ghaemi, H. (2011). The utilization of web-based technology as predictor of faculty insights of support for the implementation of eLearning. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*, 12(1), 54-61. Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ964937.pdf>
- Guiney, P. (2011). eLearning provision and participation: trends, patterns and highlights. *Education counts*. Retrieved from <http://www.educationcounts.govt.nz/publications/ict/e-learning-provision-and-participation-trends,-patterns-and-highlights/summary>
- Hegarty, B., Penman, M., Kelly, O., Jeffrey, L., Coburn, D., & McDonald, J. (2010). *Digital information literacy: supported development of capability in tertiary environments*. Retrieved from http://www.educationcounts.govt.nz/publications/tertiary_education/80624
- Herman, J. (2012). Faculty development programs: the frequency and variety of professional development programs retrieved to online instructors. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 16(5), 87-106. Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1000093.pdf>
- Huda, M., Maseleno, A., Atmotiyoso, P., Siregar, M., Ahmad, R., Jasmi, K., & Muhamad, N. (2018). Big data emerging technology: Insights into innovative environment for online learning resources. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (IJET)*, 13(1), 23-36. Retrieved from <https://www.learntechlib.org/p/182240/>
- Jeffrey, L., Hegarty, B., Kelly, O., Penman, M., Coburn D., & McDonald, J. (2011). Developing digital information literacy in higher education: obstacles and supports. *Journal of Information Technology Education*, 10, 383 - 413. Retrieved from <http://www.jite.org/documents/Vol10/JITEv10p383-413Jeffrey1019.pdf>
- Joint Information Systems Committee. (2011). *Emerging practice in a digital age*. Retrieved from <http://www.jisc.ac.uk/publications/generalpublications/2011/09/empda.aspx>
- Joint Information Systems Committee. (2012). *Extending the learning environment: why review our virtual learning environment?* Retrieved from <http://www.jisc.ac.uk/publications/briefingpapers/2012/extending-the-learning-environment.aspx>
- Johnson, L. L., Adams, S. S., Cummins, M. M., New Media Consortium, & Griffith University. (2012). Technology outlook for Australian tertiary education 2012-2017: An NMC horizon report regional analysis. *New media consortium*. Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/B3C69BFD-7AC9-4D9D-ADA2-B14CCB8D0D3A/FinalDownload/DownloadId-089FD9975234CC66D7248BD77E1507CA/B3C69BFD-7AC9-4D9D-ADA2-B14CCB8D0D3A/fulltext/ED532405.pdf>
- Keengwe, J., Kidd, T., & Kyei-Blankson, L. (2009). Faculty and technology: implications for faculty training and technology leadership. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 18(1), 23-28. Retrieved from <http://webpages.csus.edu/~sac43949/pdfs/faculty%20and%20tech%20implications.pdf>
- Kenney, J. L., Banerjee, P., & Newcombe, E. (2010). Developing and sustaining positive change in faculty development skills: lessons learned from an innovative faculty development initiative. *International Journal of Technology in Teaching and Learning*, 6(2), 89-102. Retrieved from http://www.sicet.org/journals/ijttl/issue1002/1_Kenny.pdf
- Koc, S., & Liu, X. (2016). An investigation of graduate students' help-seeking experiences, preferences and attitudes to online learning. *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology - TOJET*, 15(3), 27-38. Retrieved from https://eric.ed.gov/?q=online+learning+student&pr=on&ft=on&ff1=dytSince_2014&pg=3&id=EJ1106358
- Kumar, L. S., Jamatia, B., Aggarwal, A. K., & Kannan, S. S. (2011). Mobile device intervention for student support services in distance education context - FRAME Model Perspective. *European Journal of Open, Distance and E-Learning*, (2). Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ954908.pdf>
- Kumi-Yeboah, A., Yuan, G., & Dogbey, J. (2017). Online collaborative learning activities: The perceptions of culturally diverse graduate students. *Online Learning*, 21(4), 5 – 28. Retrieved from https://eric.ed.gov/?q=online+learning+student&pr=on&ft=on&ff1=dytSince_2014&pg=2&id=EJ1163472
- Laaser, W., & Toloza, E. (2017). The changing role of the educational video in higher distance education. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 18(2). Retrieved from <http://www.irrodl.org/index.php/irrodl/article/view/3067/4077>
- Laxton, R., & Applebee, A. (2010). Developing communities of practice around eLearning and project management. *Journal of Distance Education*, 24(1), 123-142. Retrieved from <http://www.jofde.ca/index.php/jde/article/viewArticle/604/1026>

- Liu, Y., Huang, Y., & Lin, C. (2012). Organizational factors' effects on the success of eLearning systems and organizational benefits: an empirical study in Taiwan. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 13(4), 130-151. Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1001709.pdf>
- McGreal, R. (2009). A case study of an international eLearning training division: meeting objectives. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 10(6), 1-20. Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ869421.pdf>
- Magzan, M., Aleksic-Maslac, K., & Juric, V. (2010). Teamwork in relation to quality of eLearning: business education context. *Online Submission*. Retrieved from <http://www.dhpescu.org/media/elip/DISTANCE%201.pdf>
- Marques, A., & Belo, O. (2011). Discovering student web usage profiles using Markov chains. *Electronic Journal of E-Learning*, 9(1), 63-74. Retrieved from <http://www.ejel.org/issue/download.html?idArticle=168>
- Marshall, S. J. (2008). What are the key factors that lead to effective adoption and support of eLearning by institutions? *Proceedings of HERDSA 2008*. Rotorua, New Zealand: HERDSA. Retrieved from <http://www.herdsa.org.au/wp-content/uploads/conference/2008/media/Marshall.pdf>
- Marshall, S. (2006). *eLearning maturity model version two: New Zealand tertiary institution eLearning capability: informing and guiding eLearning architectural change and development project report*. Retrieved from <http://www.utdc.vuw.ac.nz/research/emm/documents/versiontwo/20060726TeLRFReport.pdf>
- Mohamadzadeh, M., Farzaneh, J., Mousavi, M., Ma'ghabl, R., & Moenikia, M. (2012). Challenges and strategies for eLearning development in the Payame Noor University of Iran. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*, 13(1), 148-159. Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ976937.pdf>
- Mozelius, P., & Hettiarachchi, E. (2012). eNOSHA, a free, open and flexible learning object repository - an iterative development process for global user-friendliness. *European Journal of Open, Distance and E-Learning*, (1). Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ979593.pdf>
- Nicholas C., & Caon-Parsons, S. (2017). Establishing and maintaining a dynamic learning & teaching community via an online learning management system platform. *Ergo* 3(4). Retrieved from <https://www.ojs.unisa.edu.au/index.php/ergo/article/view/1448>
- Nguyen, T. (2015). The effectiveness of online learning: Beyond no significant difference and future horizons. *Journal of Online Learning and Teaching* 11(2), 309-319. Retrieved from http://jolt.merlot.org/Vol11no2/Nguyen_0615.pdf
- O'Neill, K., Singh, G., & O'Donoghue, J. (2004). Implementing eLearning programmes for higher education: a review of the literature. *Journal of Information Technology Education*, 3, 313-323. Retrieved from http://www.editlib.org/p/111456/article_111456.pdf
- Orozco, M., Fowlkes, J., Jerzak, P., & Musgrove, A. (2012). Zero to sixty plus in 108 days: launching a central elearning unit and its first faculty development program. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 16(2), 177-192. Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ971051.pdf>
- Qureshi, I. A., Ilyas, K., Yasmin, R., & Whitty, M. (2012). Challenges of implementing eLearning in a Pakistani university. *Knowledge Management & E-Learning: An International Journal (KM&EL)*, 4(3), 310-324. Retrieved from <http://kmel-journal.org/ojs/index.php/online-publication/article/download/174/145>
- Ragan, L. C., Bigatel, P., Kennan, S. S., & Dillon, J. (2012). From research to practice: towards the development of an integrated and comprehensive faculty development program. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 16(5), 71-86. Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1000092.pdf>
- Shepherd, C., & Alpert, M. (2015). Using technology to provide differentiated instruction for deaf learners. *Journal of Instructional Pedagogies*, 16. Retrieved from https://eric.ed.gov/?q=online+learning+student&pr=on&ft=on&ff1=dytSince_2014&pg=2&id=EJ1069390

- Slater, R., Pearson, V., Warren, J., & Forbes, T. (2015). Institutional change for improving accessibility in the design and delivery of distance learning – the role of faculty accessibility specialists at The Open University. *Open Learning: The Journal of Open, Distance and e-Learning*, 30, 6-20. Retrieved from <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/02680513.2015.1013528>
- Smidt, E., Bunk, J., Li, R., McAndrew, A., & Florence, M. (2016). Understanding student attitudes about distance education: The importance of excitement and fear. *IAFOR Journal of Education*, 4(1), 90 – 104. Retrieved from https://eric.ed.gov/?q=online+learning+student&pr=on&ft=on&ff1=dtYSince_2014&id=EJ1100565
- Terras, K., Leggio, J., & Phillips, A. (2015). Disability accommodation in online courses: the graduate student experience. *Journal of Postsecondary Education and Disability*, 28(3), 329 – 340. Retrieved from https://eric.ed.gov/?q=online+learning+student&pr=on&ft=on&ff1=dtYSince_2014&pg=2&id=EJ1083812
- Wilson, A. (2012). Effective professional development for eLearning: what do the managers think? *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 43(6), 892-900. Retrieved from <http://essentialconditions.wiki.pbworks.com/w/file/attach/61126845/Effective%20Professional%20Development.pdf>
- Yeboah, A. K., & Smith, P. (2016). Relationships between minority students' online learning experiences and academic performance. *Online Learning*, 20(4). Retrieved from https://eric.ed.gov/?q=online+learning+student&pr=on&ft=on&ff1=dtYSince_2014&id=EJ1124650
- Yu, T., & Richardson, J. C. (2015). An exploratory factor analysis and reliability analysis of the student *online learning* readiness (SOLR) instrument. *Online Learning* 19(5), 120 – 141. Retrieved from https://eric.ed.gov/?q=online+learning+student&pr=on&ft=on&ff1=dtYSince_2014&id=EJ1085767